

**Covid-19 Is an Offensive Biological Warfare Weapon That Leaked Out of
China's Wuhan BSL4 Lab***

By

Professor Francis A. Boyle

Before

**The International Conference on "Eradication of Biological and Chemical
Weapons"**

Maharashtra Institute of Technology World Peace University (MIT-PUNE)

Pune, India

June 26, 2020

***© 2020 by Francis A. Boyle. All rights reserved.**

Namaste! Thank you very much for having me here today to speak to this outstanding group of peacemakers, to which cause I have devoted the last five decades of my life. I drafted the United States domestic implementing legislation for the Biological Weapons Convention known as the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act (BWATA) of 1989 that was approved unanimously by both Houses of the United States Congress and signed into law by President George Bush Senior. My BWATA was instigated by the fact that the Reagan administration and its Neoconservatives had gotten heavily involved into the use of DNA genetic engineering techniques to research, develop, and test illegal biological warfare weapons. I set out to stop this with the assistance of my friends and colleagues at the Council for Responsible Genetics at that time – some of the top life scientists in the world from Harvard, MIT, Sloan-Kettering, etc. They were founded in 1983 and soon thereafter asked me to join with them in order to work against biological weapons.

Now so far I have appeared live on Indian television twice in order to discuss and analyze the coronavirus at the very beginning of this pandemic. Once with Indian CNN, and it was a very good session. Then a second time with an indigenous Indian TV station, once again a very good session. Both times I told the Indian People that on the basis of my research I have concluded that COVID-19 is an offensive biological warfare weapon that leaked out of the Wuhan BSL4 in China. You have to understand that this Wuhan BSL4 is China's First Fort Detrick. Let me repeat that; this is China's First Fort Detrick. We all know what goes on at Fort Detrick. Historically they have researched, developed, tested, stockpiled, and sometimes used offensive biological warfare weapons, including and especially by means of DNA genetic engineering and now by means of synthetic biology. That is precisely what I set out to stop with my Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989. This is what is confronting us here today.

I am not a China-basher. I am not a Neoconservative. I am not a warmonger. But I believe that under the circumstances of this global pandemic I have to tell the truth about what happened here for all of us citizens of humanity to understand and then to figure out how to come to grips with this. We are literally fighting World War 3 here. Not against China but against COVID-19. It threatens all humanity. As you and I just saw from the statistics as of yesterday, the highest level of infection in the world is the United States, then followed by Brazil, and then followed by your India. So this is a very serious battle that we are engaged in here.

Based on my scientific research that I won't go through it all here, COVID-19 originated out of that Wuhan BSL4 as a biological warfare weapon. It basically starts with SARS, and SARS is a weaponized version of coronavirus. Earlier SARS had leaked out of Chinese biological warfare labs before. At the Wuhan BSL4 they manufactured a synthetic recombinant virus for SARS. Then their notorious Bat Queen brought it to a laboratory at the University of North Carolina that is a BSL3, biosafety lab level 3. Indeed all these biosafety level labs 3 and 4 have to be terminated immediately. They all leak. That's exactly what happened at the Wuhan BSL4. I'm not saying China did this deliberately. It was a leak. Fort Detrick has leaked. All these BSL4s leak. They are existentially dangerous, and they must be shut down and the same is true for the BSL3s.

So the Wuhan BSL4 took this synthetic recombinant SARS virus over to the University of North Carolina BSL3, which is a well-known biological warfare center here in the United States that I have publicly condemned before. BSL3s do every type of hideous Nazi biological warfare dirty work that you can possibly imagine as well as the BSL4s, which are far worse. And they took it to the UNC BSL3 for the purpose of applying gain-of-function technology to it, which means using DNA genetic engineering and synthetic biology to make it more lethal and more infectious. Indeed involved in this Nazi death science dirty work was not only the University of North Carolina but the United States government's own Food and Drug Administration that has a long history of being involved in biological warfare. Likewise my alma mater Harvard, the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute at the Harvard Medical School, which is supposed to be the No. 1 ranked in the country if not the world, and is part of Harvard's world-renowned Massachusetts General Hospital Complex was involved in this project. (When I was a student at Harvard I was treated over at Harvard's MassGen on my Harvard student health plan.) There has long been an overlap between cancer research and biological warfare development. This Nazi biowarfare death science project was approved and paid for by the U.S. National Institutes of Health, part of

the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and NIAID under the direction of Dr Tony Fauci. Fauci has been up to his eyeballs in Nazi biowarfare death science dirty work since he became the Director of NIAID during the Neoconservative Reagan administration and has been there ever since approving it and funding it and supervising it. My BWATA was specifically designed to stop Fauci and these other American Nazi biowarfare death scientists. To complete the circle, the UNC BSL3/Wuhan BSL4 project also acknowledged receiving cells from Fort Detrick. The notorious PRC Bat Queen personally handled all of this Nazi biowarfare death science dirty work at the Wuhan BSL4, and then brought it to the UNC BSL3 for gain-of-function enhancement, and then brought this deadly combined biotechnology back to the Wuhan BSL4.

In addition, your courageous Indian scientists here pointed out right at the very beginning of this pandemic, there is HIV that has been DNA genetically engineered directly into COVID-19. I read that study. It certainly convinced me. They had the pictures there. Of course, enormous political pressure was applied upon them to withdraw that study. But even the French microbiologist Montagnier who won the Nobel Prize in medicine for determining that HIV causes AIDS, confirmed that study and said that yes, HIV is DNA genetically engineered right into COVID-19. How did the Wuhan BSL4 get that? They sent a scientist down to Australia and working with the Australian Health Board they DNA genetically engineered HIV directly into SARS, which I have already explained is a weaponized coronavirus. So they brought that deadly biotechnology back to the Wuhan BSL4, and that can be confirmed by another scientific research paper that I have cited in my interviews on this matter. Indeed, if you doubt my analysis here, about a month ago there was a report by the well-known Five Eyes Committee of intelligence services from the United States, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and Britain, that was leaked to the *Australian Daily Telegraph* completely agreeing with my analysis that the COVID-19 came out of the Wuhan BSL4 courtesy of the University of North Carolina and this Australian Health Board. That was published in the Australian news media and I appeared live on Sky News in Australia at the next available opportunity for about 10 minutes or so to discuss this with the Australian People.

The final piece of the puzzle, at least as I have been able to determine so far, and I'm certainly fully prepared to reevaluate my conclusions on the basis of further evidence, is that the Wuhan BSL4 bragged on their website that they have successfully applied nanotechnology to viruses. Nanotechnology to viruses! What is nanotechnology good for when it comes to viruses? Aerosolizing them! That's what you do with nanotechnology. You aerosolize

viruses and bacteria etc. to be used as weapons to be delivered by air in order to be breathed by human beings. Aerosolization – Fort Detrick does it too. Aerosolization is always the tip-off of a biological warfare weapon. It serves no legitimate scientific or medical purpose at all. That is why at the Wuhan BSL4 when they work there, they have to wear moon suits and with their own portable air supply. Likewise that's what they do at the BSL4 at Fort Detrick. That's what all these Nazi biowarfare death scientists do at all BSL4s.

This is what they do at the BSL4 right here in Pune. I've seen the pictures. Whatever nonsense they're telling you about what's going on there, this is India's Fort Detrick. India wants to play with the big boys – the United States and China and Russia, and especially compete with their longstanding adversary China. So they set up their own Fort Detrick in Pune despite the requirements of the Biological Weapons Convention.

So in my assessment of the situation, this is what we are dealing with. This is SARS on Steroids. SARS has a lethality rate of somewhere around 14-15% based upon the scientific literature. This is what we are dealing with in India, in the United States, in Brazil and around the world. It is extremely infectious, it is highly lethal, it is existentially dangerous. Basically, humanity is fighting World War 3 against COVID-19. It will kill millions if somehow we do not figure out collectively how to stop it.

In the meantime we have to shut down all these BSL3s and BSL4s including India's Pune BSL4 because they will leak again. They all leak. That is what happened here in China. I believe the leak occurred from as best as I can figure out from the public record sometime around or about the first week in November 2019. It had nothing to do with the so-called wet market. This is just a cover story and propaganda by the Chinese Communist government. They covered up the SARS bioweapon leak the first time and as you know maybe 1,200 died. Finally we were able to contain SARS. But this is gain-of-function SARS on Steroids that has been aerosolized and has HIV in it. So it is far more and existentially dangerous than the original SARS itself. And that is why I went live onto Indian television twice to explain to the Indian People what you are up against here in India. And now I am doing this a third time at more length at this scholarly conference.

With all due respect to your previous speaker, and I mean that, the main problem here is not so-called terrorist organizations. The main problem here has always been terrorist governments like the United States, United Kingdom, Russia, China, France, Israel, etc. and now I regret to say India, with your Pune BSL4. You will note that at your Pune BSL4 they're running around in there in moon suits with portable air supplies so that they can aerosolize whatever biological warfare weapons they have there for delivery

to human beings. This goes all the way back to the Neoconservative Reagan administration and their Tony Fauci getting involved in applying DNA genetic engineering to biological weapons which I tried to stop with my BWATA legislation. Since that time there has been an offensive biological warfare weapons arms race that has been going on by the major industrial countries of the world: United States, Britain, China, Russia, France, Israel and now I regret to report India has joined their ranks too. That is what is going on here. That is what we confront as human beings.

It is a long-term problem. All these BSL3s and BSL4s have to be shut down immediately including the one here at Pune. It will leak. There's no doubt about it. West Nile virus leaked in the United States from the Department of Agriculture Biowarfare Lab there on Plum Island. It has infected people all over the country. Lyme disease leaked also from that same USDA biowarfare lab on Plum Island and has infected people all over the country. There is a long history of the USDA doing dirty Nazi biowarfare work on plants and animals.

So COVID-19 leaked from that Wuhan BSL4 probably around or about the first week in November. The first case was publicly reported about November 16. At that point at least if not sooner the Chinese government realized there was a leak there and they proceeded to lie about it and cover up about it just like they did on SARS, just like the United States government has done on Fort Detrick, and Plum Island and some of its other biowarfare labs. They all cover up on it because they know what they are doing violates the Biological Weapons Convention and is morbidly and fatally and existentially dangerous to human beings as well as to animals and plants. So I am not singling China out here. Indeed there was a U.S. State Department delegation that went out to that Wuhan BSL4. PRC had been asking for American help and advice to construct it – the world-renowned experts on biological weapons and warfare are Made in the USA and UK. The State Department came back, and you can read their report in the *Washington Post*, saying that there were serious safety and technology problems at the Wuhan BSL4. So it leaked, China covered it up, and here we are today. If PRC had acted immediately and effectively to shut down the City of Wuhan and its surrounding area the moment they had notice about it somewhere around or about between November 1-16, 2019 instead of covering it up, perhaps we could have avoided this entire calamity and worldwide pandemic.

Let me just repeat that that research was approved and funded by the U.S. National Institutes of Health and the U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases under Tony Fauci. They all knew all about it. They all

funded it. That Wuhan BSL4 was also a WHO research lab. Imagine that, the WHO being a sponsor of China's First Fort Detrick!

My alma mater Harvard was involved, and they knew all about it and were a Wuhan BSL4 sponsoring institution as well. Imagine Harvard being a sponsoring institution for China's First Fort Detrick and their Dana-Farber Cancer Institute at Harvard Medical School/MassGen was involved in the manufacture of COVID-19. Harvard's chemistry professor department chair was over there. He is a specialist in nanotechnology applied to chemistry and biology. He worked for Fort Detrick. He had his own nanotechnology laboratory over in Wuhan. Harvard knew exactly what he was doing! So what we are dealing with here is a cabal and a cult of Nazi biological warfare death scientists who do this type of existentially dangerous dirty work in all of the advanced industrialized countries of the world.

Let me conclude then with where we stand today. Since as the Indian scientists correctly determined, and that was confirmed by the French Nobel Prize winner in medicine, HIV is DNA genetically engineered into COVID-19, which I agree is the case, then you are not going to be able to develop a safe and effective vaccine for Covid-19. There is no vaccine today for HIV/AIDS despite having tried to develop one for the last three decades. Personally I don't think there will ever be a safe and effective vaccine for COVID-19. And even if Big Pharma says it has developed a vaccine for COVID-19, it will probably be more dangerous than useless. I think the best we will be left with is therapeutics and drugs. Therapeutics and drugs have significantly reduced the death rate for HIV/AIDS and it has significantly reduced the death rate for cancer. We've tried to find a vaccine for cancer for the last generation, and it's failed. But the therapeutics and drugs have worked. I respectfully recommend over here in India that you take your top scientists and put them to work at therapeutics and drugs. I believe any vaccine for COVID-19 will be more dangerous than useless. Therapeutics and drugs are where you should put your money, time, and scientific talents, resources, and expertise. Thank you.

**CALL FOR A BAN ON THE GENETIC
ALTERATION OF PATHOGENS FOR
DESTRUCTIVE PURPOSES**

3 November 2001

Harvard Divinity School

Cambridge, MA 02138

The recent use of the US Postal Service to disseminate anthrax-contaminated mail underscores a more general threat to people worldwide brought about by the perversion of the biological sciences to cause harm through the deliberate spread of disease.* This is the moment to outlaw all destructive applications of genetic engineering.

We call on the United States to immediately halt all projects designed to genetically modify naturally occurring organisms for military purposes.

We call on the States Parties to the 1972 Biological Weapons Convention to extend the Convention's ban to cover all genetic modification of biological agents for military purposes. Since the line between offense and defense in this context is thin to non-existent, there should be no loopholes for "defense." Genetic modification of pathogens for development of vaccines or other medical purposes should be carried out in civilian laboratories and under strict international controls.

Finally, we call on the United States to support a Protocol to the Biological Weapons Convention to assure strict compliance with the terms of the Convention both by states and by individuals and sub-state organizations.

Signed,

Francis A. Boyle, Professor of International Law at University of Illinois College of Law, author of U.S. implementing legislation for 1972 Biological Weapons Convention, the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989.

Jonathan King, PhD, Professor of Molecular Biology at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Director of the Biology Electron Microscope Facility.

Martin Teitel, PhD, President of the Council for Responsible Genetics.

Susan Wright, PhD, Associate Research Scientist at the University of Michigan.

***Several developed countries, including the United States, have initiated projects aimed at genetically engineering pathogenic and other microbes for military purposes. Military-sponsored projects include:**

- 1) developing “superbugs” capable of digesting materials such as plastics, fuel, rubber, and asphalt;**
- 2) developing a strain of anthrax that overcomes the protection provided by vaccines in the name of “defense” against such genetically altered strains.**

These projects are being justified under the terms

of the Biological Weapons Convention as necessary for “defense.” Far from providing defense, these projects open up the possibility of more dangerous forms of biological warfare against which there is no defense. They also undermine the Convention both because the actual motives for these projects are highly ambiguous (if a country were to withdraw from the Biological Weapons Convention, their projects would have direct offensive applications) and because they will stimulate similar projects elsewhere in the world.

Francis Boyle, a former advisory board member for the Council for Responsible Genetics, is a professor of international law at the University of Illinois College of Law.

His educational background¹ includes an undergraduate degree from the University of Chicago, a juris doctor (lawyer) degree from Harvard and a Ph.D. in political science. For decades, he’s advocated against the development and use of bioweapons, which he suspects COVID-19 is.

In fact, Boyle was the one who called for biowarfare legislation at the Biological Weapons Convention of 1972, and the one who drafted the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989, which was passed unanimously by both houses of Congress and signed into law by George Bush Sr.

In our first, March 8, 2020, interview, Boyle shared his views on the origins of the novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2. Here, we continue our discussion, as more details have emerged about this virus. One of the criticisms raised since our last interview is that Boyle has no formal training in virology. When asked what makes him qualified to speak about this particular virus, he says:

“I went to the University of Chicago, which is one of the top five universities in the country, if not the world. There I took their bio pre-med sequence, which was biochemistry, population biology and genetics, and got straight A’s.

I was in there competing with all the University of Chicago bio pre-med students for grades and my biochem lab partner went to Harvard Medical School.

I won the University of Chicago's Sigma Xi Certificate of Merit and Prize in Biology for my graduating year. They gave out one per year and it usually went to seniors, but in my case, they had to make a special exception because I was a graduating junior.

So, yes, I'm not a scientist, but one of the reasons the Council for Responsible Genetics asked me to get involved was that my knowledge in this field was well-known to my life science friends there on the Harvard faculty, and that's how I got involved here.

I had basic rudimentary training, actually very good training, at the University of Chicago, and my professors there, professor friends at Harvard in the life sciences, I guess they vouched for me. So, when I was asked to join shortly after CRG was founded in 1983, I agreed to do so and they asked me to handle their biological warfare work.”

The Council for Responsible Genetics was founded in 1983 in [Cambridge, Massachusetts](#).

Council for Responsible Genetics	
Abbreviation	CRG
Formation	1983
Founded at	Cambridge, Massachusetts
Type	non profit
Purpose	biotechnology

An early voice concerned about the social and ethical implications of modern genetic technologies, CRG organized a 1985 Congressional Briefing **{given and conducted by me}** and a 1986 panel of the [American Association for the Advancement of Science](#), both focusing on the potential dangers of genetically engineered biological weapons.^[1] **[Francis Boyle](#) was asked to draft legislation**

setting limits on the use of genetic engineering, leading to the [Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989](#).^[2]

U.S. Biowarfare Programs Have 13,000 Death Scientists Hard at Work

America's \$100-billion Germ Warfare

Industry a "Criminal Enterprise,"

Author of U.S. Biowarfare Act Says

By Sherwood Ross

The American legal authority who in 1989 drafted the law Congress enacted to comply with the 1972 Biological Weapons Convention says the U.S. today [October 11, 2015] is in flagrant violation of that Convention.

"Since Sept. 11, 2001, we have spent somewhere in the area of \$100 billion" on offensive biological warfare, charges Professor Francis Boyle of the University of Illinois, Champaign.

Boyle said an estimated 13,000 "death scientists" in 400 laboratories in the U.S. and abroad, are employed making new strains of offensive killer germs that will be resistant to vaccines.

For example, Dr. Yoshihiro Kawaoka's group at the University of Wisconsin has found a way to increase the toxicity of the flu virus by 200 times! Boyle says Kawaoka is "the same death scientist who resurrected the genocidal Spanish Flu virus for the Pentagon for offensive biowarfare purposes."

As for fighting flu, the National Institutes of Health in 2006, a typical year, got only \$120 million from Congress to fight flu, which kills an estimated 36,000 Americans annually. By contrast, Congress gave NIH \$1.76 billion for "biodefense," even though the anthrax outbreak in 2001 killed just five persons.

"These distorted budgetary allocations," (spending 15 times as much for germ warfare as for fighting flu) demonstrate that the priority here is not the promotion of the public health of American citizens but rather to further develop the U.S. offensive biowarfare industry that will someday 'blowback' upon the American people with a catastrophic pandemic," Boyle said.

He went on to say the Pentagon and Central Intelligence Agency(CIA) are "ready, willing, and able to launch biowarfare when it suits their interests...They have a super-weapons-grade anthrax that they already used against us in October, 2001."

Boyle here was referring to the anthrax pathogens mailed to two U.S. Senators (Tom Daschle, of South Dakota and Patrick Leahy, of

Vermont) and others after 9/11 that were traced back to the Government's biowarfare lab at Fort Detrick, Md.

Boyle's remarks came in response to written questions from Sherwood Ross, a Miami, Fla.-based columnist. Asked if the recent outbreaks of Ebola in Sierra Leone and Liberia could be from U.S. Government-backed facilities, Boyle replied:

"These Ebola vaccines were experimental U.S. biowarfare vaccines that were being tested out in West Africa. It was a result of testing out of the U.S. biowarfare vaccines at our lab in Kenema, Sierra Leone, that created the West African Ebola pandemic in the first place."

Boyle warned that the Galveston National Laboratory in Texas, a high-containment research lab, has been seeking for potential biowarfare agents in the wild in other parts of the world "in order to turn them into biological weapons."

He said, "They should shut down Galveston as an ongoing criminal enterprise along the lines of the S.S. and the Gestapo -- except that Galveston is far more dangerous to humanity than Hitler's death squads ever were."

Boyle added, "American universities have a long history of willingly permitting their research agenda, researchers, institutes, and laboratories to be co-opted, corrupted, and perverted by the the Pentagon and the C.I.A. into death science. These include Wisconsin,

North Carolina, Boston U., Harvard, M.I.T., Tulane, University of Chicago, and my own University of Illinois, as well as many others."

(Sherwood Ross formerly reported for the Chicago Daily News and was a columnist for UPI (Magazines In Review) and Reuters (Workplace.)

**BOYLE CHARGES U.S. GERM WARFARE
PROGRAM IS "CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE"**

Q. AND A. WITH FRANCIS A. BOYLE ON BIOWARFARE

Francis A. Boyle is a leading American professor, practitioner and advocate of international law. He was responsible for drafting the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989 (BWATA), the American implementing legislation for the 1972 Biological Weapons

Convention. His BWATA was passed unanimously by both Houses of the United States Congress and signed into law by President George Bush Sr. The story is told in his book *Biowarfare and Terrorism* (Clarity Press: 2005). He served on the Board of Directors of Amnesty International USA (1988-1992), and represented Bosnia-Herzegovina at the World Court. Professor Boyle teaches international law at the University of Illinois College of Law in Champaign. He holds a Doctor of Law Magna Cum Laude as well as a Ph.D. in Political Science, both from Harvard University.

Q: To get some idea of the magnitude of U.S. biological warfare research involving deadly diseases now going forward, the Federal government is said to be funding 400 laboratories globally. These labs purportedly are concocting new strains of lethal microbes for which there is no cure. Right off the bat, I'd like to ask you, "Is this a criminal

enterprise whose dimensions are being concealed from the American public?"

A: Of course it is! Since September 11, 2001, we have spent somewhere in the area approaching \$100 billion on biological warfare. Effectively we now have an Offensive Biological Warfare Industry in this country that violates the Biological Weapons Convention and my Biological Weapons Anti-terrorism Act of 1989. We have reconstructed the Offensive Biological Warfare Industry that we had deployed in this country before its prohibition by the Biological Weapons Convention of 1972 that was described by Sy Hersh in his groundbreaking exposé of it in his book *Chemical & Biological Warfare: America's Hidden Arsenal* (Bobbs-Merrill: 1968). Our putative adversaries around the world such as Russia and China have undoubtedly reached the same conclusions I have derived from the same open and public sources, and have responded in kind. So what

the world now witnesses is an all-out offensive biological warfare arms race among the major military powers of the world: United States, Russia, Britain, France, China, Israel, inter alia. The Biological Weapons Convention has become the proverbial “mere scrap of paper.” But my BWATA still remains the law of the land in the United States with a penalty of life-in-prison for violators. That is why the self-styled “synthetic biologists” proposed to repeal my BWATA so that they can use Synthetic Biology to manufacture new classes of biological weapons more efficiently.

Q: Exactly what is biowarfare?

A: Biological warfare involves the use of living organisms for military purposes. Such weapons can be viral, bacterial, and fungal, among other forms, and can be spread over a large geographic terrain by

wind, water, insect, animal, or human transmission. Toxins---living organisms such as fungi---are also used.

Q: Which are the most dangerous?

A: Today several U.S.G. labs are at work on Anthrax, Tularemia, Plague, Ebola, Botulism, and the genocidal Spanish Flu virus.

Q: What do they do with these pathogens?

A: Using DNA genetic engineering, U.S. death scientists are concocting new strains of lethal microbes for which there are no cures. Bacteria, for example, can be made resistant to vaccines, made more virulent, easier to spread, and harder to eradicate. Right now U.S. death

scientists are scouring the biosphere around the world to locate any bioagent in nature that they can exploit and pervert into offensive biowarfare purposes.

Q: *USA Today* has done some fine reporting on this subject. Among other things, their reporters have exposed massive incidents of lax security conditions at U.S.G. labs and university labs funded by U.S.G. What might the consequences be of this disregard for safety?

A: This is a biocatastrophe waiting to happen here in the United States. In fact it has already happened in West Africa with the Ebola pandemic there. It is only a matter of time before we have a similar pandemic at home here caused by U.S. biowarfare programs. In this regard you should watch the excellent award-winning documentary

by Coen & Nadler entitled *Anthrax-War* (Transformer Films: 2009) in which I appear and served as a consultant on.

Q: Recently, 13 cases of plague were reported in Arizona, California, Colorado, Georgia, New Mexico, Oregon and Utah, resulting in three deaths. Could these deadly plague pathogens (infectious agents) have come from the U.S. government's (U.S.G.) germ warfare labs?

A: I suspect they might have. But proving it is another matter. Any time you see some mysterious and widespread outbreak of an exotic disease around the country, you have to factor into the analytical explanatory equation that it could be the result of some illegal U.S. biowarfare program.

Q: Is it a fact, as alleged, that the Anthrax pathogens mailed to two U.S. Senators and others after 9/11 trace back to the U.S.G. biowarfare lab at Ft. Detrick, Md.? You have written that Senators Daschle and Leahy, both Democrats, had opposed the Patriot Act, which gives U.S.G. unprecedented powers and abolishes Americans' traditional personal liberties. If the Anthrax was sent by the Pentagon, was it to intimidate the Senators?

A: Yes! I have written about this in my book *Biowarfare and Terrorism* (Clarity Press: 2005). More recently my friend and colleague Professor Graeme MacQueen from McMaster University in Canada has also written about this in his book *The 2001 Anthrax Deception* (Clarity Press: 2014). You are free to read these two books, draw your own conclusions, and see if you agree with us. Over the years there are numerous interviews I have given on this matter that you can obtain by Googling my name and adding the word “anthrax” to their search

engine. The twin purposes of these October 2001 anthrax attacks were (1) to scaremonger the American People and Congress into adopting the totalitarian and Orwellian USA Patriot Act and (2) to wage an offensive war of aggression against Iraq. As President George Bush Jr. proudly boasted: "Mission accomplished!" -- on both counts.

Q: Recently, there have been outbreaks of Ebola in Sierra Leone and Liberia. You have raised the possibility that U.S.G. may be illegally experimenting with these diseases on citizens of those African nations. Could you please elaborate?

A: These Ebola vaccines were experimental U.S. biowarfare vaccines that were being tested out in West Africa. It was a result of testing out of the U.S. biowarfare vaccines at our lab in Kenema, Sierra Leone, that created the West African Ebola pandemic in the first place. I have

given numerous interviews to support my conclusion here in more detail. These can be located by Googling my name and adding the word "Ebola" to their search engine.

Q: Is such germ warfare development work illegal under the BWC Treaty of 1972? (Dr. Boyle was the American attorney who wrote the implementing legislation for the U.S. that passed Congress without a single negative vote.)

A: Yes. The U.S. is a party to the 1972 Biological and Toxic Weapons Convention which bans "development, production, stockpiling and use of microbes or their poisonous products except in amounts necessary for protective and peaceful research..." Colonel David Huxsoll, Commander of the Army's Medical Institute of Infectious

Diseases, has admitted that offensive research is indistinguishable from defensive research.

Q: Although Russia said it scrapped its germ warfare program after the Communists lost power in 1991, the U.S. budget for this purpose has increased. Are there any countries or terrorist groups that might realistically attack the U.S. with such weapons? One critic has said .U.S.G.'s biowarfare push resembles "a dog chasing its own tail."

A: The truth of the matter is that the United States government has been pursuing the development of an offensive biowarfare program and industry since the Reagan administration and his Neoconservatives came to power in 1981. I set forth this earlier biowarfare documentation on Reagan and his Neo-Cons in my previous book *The Future of International Law and American Foreign*

Policy (Transnational Publishers Inc.: 1989), Chapter 8, “The Legal Distortions Behind the Reagan Administration’s Chemical and Biological Warfare Buildup.” Interestingly enough, the Department of Defense itself reprinted my study as *Current News: Special Edition: CHEMICAL WEAPONS, NO. 1586 (28 May 1987)* and distributed it to thousands of high-level D.O.D. civilian and military officials all over the world.

Q: It sounds fantastic, I know, but scientists once paid by the U.S.G. to cure cancer are now being paid to develop deadlier strains of anthrax, dengue, Japanese encephalitis, tularemia, Q fever, and other dread diseases. Comment?

A: On the relationship between cancer research and bioweapons you should have a look at the book by Dr. Len Horowitz, *Emerging Viruses:*

***AIDS and Ebola – Nature, Accident, or Intentional?* (Tetrahedron Inc. 1996).**

Q: You have written that Dr. Yoshihiro Kawaoka's group at the University of Wisconsin has found a way to increase the toxicity of flu virus by 200 times. What is the purpose of this horrible-sounding research and why should U.W. support it?

A: This is the same U.S. death scientist who resurrected the genocidal Spanish Flu virus for the Pentagon for offensive biowarfare purposes. Like all U.S. universities, Bucky Badger U. gets a cut out of all research funds brought in from the outside. Here at Chief Illiniwak University they publicly admitted that they take 51 cents out of every research \$1 Buck brought in from the outside and charge it off to “overhead.” At most American Universities today, money talks and principles

walk. My Disalma Mater Harvard is no better, no worse, and no different.

Q: During the 1980-88 Iraq-Iran war, the Reagan White House okayed the Pentagon's sale of weapons-specific biological agents and poison gas to Iraq that Saddam Hussein used against Iran and his own Kurdish minority? At least 5,000 Kurds were gassed. And, according to *Time* magazine of Jan. 20, 2014, the CIA reckoned Iran suffered 50,000 deaths. Doesn't this prove the White House has used biological agents offensively?

A: Certainly chemical weapons were used illegally. In addition, the Reagan administration shipped weapons-specific biowarfare agents to Saddam Hussein in Iraq in the hope and expectation that he would weaponize them and use them against Iran. He did weaponize them.

So far I have not seen evidence that he used bioweapons against Iran or the Kurds. But these biowarfare weapons that Saddam Hussein produced thanks to Reagan and his Neo-Cons did “blowback” upon U.S. armed forces when they invaded Iraq in 1991. This “blowback” played a causative role in the Gulf War Syndrome that afflicted U.S. soldiers who participated in Gulf War I under President Bush Sr. I discuss this in my book *Destroying World Order* (Clarity Press: 2004) and in the British TV documentary *The Dirty War* (1993) produced by and shown on Britain’s Independent Television Network TV4 that I consulted on and appear in.

Q: You have pointed out that the Galveston National Laboratory in Texas, a high-containment research lab, admits to seeking for potential biowarfare agents in the wild in other parts of the world "in order to turn them into biological weapons."

A: Right! They should shut down Galveston as an ongoing criminal enterprise along the lines of the S.S. and the Gestapo -- except that Galveston is far more dangerous to humanity than Hitler's death squads ever were. They say their work with Ebola is for a vaccine, but the same technology can also be weaponized. Galveston is working to aerosolize Ebola just as Ft. Detrick worked to aerosolize Anthrax. Aerosolization of a biowarfare agent is always the tip-off to the development of a weapon to be delivered by air to human beings who will breathe it in. Ft. Detrick should be shut down as well because it too is an ongoing criminal enterprise.

Q: Besides Ft. Detrick and Galveston, are there any other biowarfare laboratories you believe should be closed?

A: All of them. Since 1981, the Pentagon has been gearing up to fight and “win” biological warfare without prior public knowledge and review. What's more, American universities have a long history of willingly permitting their research agenda, researchers, institutes, and laboratories to be co-opted, corrupted, and perverted by the Pentagon and the C.I.A. into death science. These include Wisconsin, North Carolina, Boston U., Harvard, M.I.T., Tulane, University of Chicago, and my own University of Illinois as well as many others.

Q: Biological warfare development requires highly sophisticated technology and safe laboratories. No so-called "terrorist" group is known to possess anything like the requisite facilities. Besides America, what countries have operative biowarfare labs?

A: U.S., U.K., Russia, France, China, Israel, for sure. There are several other countries that the U.S. has established satellite biowarfare labs in.

Q: Is there any published data on U.S.G.'s expenditures for biowarfare since 9/11? I assume it has taken off like other Pentagon outlays.

A: Yes, there are published figures on this in the open record. The last time I did a calculation from them the sum was approaching \$100 billion. By comparison, in 2012 Dollars we spent \$30 Billion on the Manhattan Project to develop the atom bombs that were then used to destroy Hiroshima and Nagasaki. You can see my book *The Criminality of Nuclear Deterrence* (Clarity Press: 2002), Chapter 2, "The Lessons of Hiroshima and Nagasaki." So that historical precedent and analogy is a pretty good indication that the U.S. Offensive

Biowarfare Industry is intended for use on human beings somewhere.

The momentum behind the money propels inexorably towards use of the weapons.

Q: Does the recent Pentagon mail-out of live anthrax virus to 86 laboratories here and to 7 nations abroad, bear out your prior criticism of U.S.G.'s careless handling of these pathogens?

A: Of course. But I don't believe there was anything "careless" or "accidental" about any of this. The Pentagon knows exactly what they are doing. They are not "incompetent" at the Pentagon. This was deliberate. Just like the anthrax attacks of October 2001 were deliberate.

Q: You contend that the American pharmaceutical industry and the World Health Organization (WHO) are dumping dangerous vaccines in West Africa where the publics are already suffering from Ebola. Why would WHO get involved in this? Can you elaborate?

A: First, to make money. WHO is a front organization for BIG PHARMA. Second, to reduce the numbers of Black West Africans -- genocide.

Q: It's been estimated that 36,000 Americans are dying every year from flu. By contrast, only five Americans died from an Anthrax attack and that was back in 2001. Yet, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) in 2006, a typical fiscal year, received only \$120 million from Congress to fight flu but \$1.76 billion for "biodefense"?

A: Right! These distorted budgetary allocations demonstrate that the priority here is not the promotion of the Public Health of American citizens but rather to further develop the U.S. Offensive Biowarfare Industry that will someday “blowback” upon the American People with a catastrophic pandemic.

Q: Scientists who oppose the Pentagon's activity insist that germ-warfare defense is clearly impractical; that every person would have to be vaccinated against every harmful biological agent. Since that likely is clearly impossible isn't the only application of a defensive development in conjunction with offensive use?

A: We are currently stockpiling vaccines to immunize our Civilian and Military Leadership Elites for if and when they decide to wage offensive biowarfare. *Pace* the Constitution, “We the People of the

United States” will have to fend for ourselves as best we can with our grossly underfunded and inadequate public health services that have been deliberately starved of money in order to feed the U.S. Offensive Biowarfare Industry Beast.

Q: Recently, Defense Secretary Ashton Carter told a St. Louis audience of government employees, "You're some of the nation's most innovative and inventive physicists, chemists, and geneticists...molecular biologists," etc. Yes, indeed. How many employees does the Pentagon now have in germ warfare work and how much is it costing the American people?

A: Overall I have read a figure that there about 13,000 death scientists in America today doing dirty biowarfare work who perversely call themselves “life scientists.” Doctor Mengele would be proud of them

all! As Doctor Strangelove said: "Mein Fuhrer, I can walk!" Seventy years after World War II ended the Nazis have won.

Q: Given all of the above, does it appear conceivable to you the Pentagon is developing a massive germ warfare weapon as a means of intimidating the world? After all, it has positioned itself in about 900 bases around the globe from which it can, and does, strike using conventional weapons, and it has used illegal radioactive ammunition in its war against Iraq.

A: Of course. But not just intimidation. The Pentagon and the C.I.A. are ready, willing, and able to launch biowarfare when it suits their interests. They already attacked the American People and Congress and disabled our Republic with super-weapons-grade anthrax in October 2001. A fortiori they will do so again to foreign states and

peoples when deemed convenient. Us too! They have a stockpile of that super-weapons-grade anthrax that they already used against us in October 2001.

Q: Thank you, Professor Francis Boyle.

A: Thanks so much for doing this interview.

**Francis A. Boyle
Law Building
504 E. Pennsylvania Ave.
Champaign IL 61820 USA
Phone: 217-333-7954
Fax: 217-244-1478
(personal comments only)**

Francis A. Boyle
Law Building
504 E. Pennsylvania Ave.

Champaign IL 61820

Francis A. Boyle
Law Building
504 E. Pennsylvania Ave.
Champaign IL 61820 USA
Phone: 217-333-7954
Fax: 217-244-1478
(personal comments only)